

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

JAMAL DAMON HENDRIX, <div style="text-align: center;">Plaintiff(s),</div>	}	Case No. 2:15-cv-00560-MMD-NJK
vs.	}	ORDER
STATE OF NEVADA, et al.,	}	(Docket No. 45)
<div style="text-align: center;">Defendant(s).</div> <hr style="width: 100%;"/>	}	

Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's motion to extend his copy work limit. Docket No. 45. Defendants filed a response in opposition. Docket No. 47. No reply has been filed.

An inmate has no constitutional right to free photocopying. *Johnson v. Moore*, 948 F.2d 517, 521 (9th Cir. 1991). Pursuant to NDOC regulations, inmates can only accrue a maximum of \$100 debt for copy work expenses for all cases. *See, e.g., Weddle v. Baker*, 2014 U.S. Dist. Lexis 151674, *3 (D. Nev. Oct. 27, 2014). "In this district, courts have found that they can order a prison to extend limited photocopying when it is necessary for an inmate to provide copies to the Court and other parties." *Id.* (citing *Allen v. Clark Cnty. Det. Ctr.*, 2011 U.S. Dist. Lexis 31756 (D. Nev. Mar. 11, 2011)).

In this instance, Plaintiff has filed a form motion related to habeas proceedings. *See* Docket No. 45. No showing has been made that additional photocopying is necessary in relation to this particular case, nor has a showing been made that other means of copying (including using carbon paper) are not available.

1 Accordingly, the motion to extend Plaintiff's copy work limit is hereby **DENIED**.

2 IT IS SO ORDERED.

3 DATED: July 27, 2016

4 
5 _____
6 NANCY J. KOPPE
7 United States Magistrate Judge
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28